I. INTRODUCTION

The physics of multiple light scattering in media is well established [1,2]. While random multiple-scattering media are abundant in nature, their microscopic structure originates from uncontrolled physical processes. Recent breakthroughs in nanofabrication enabled the construction of multiple-scattering media with designer microscopic features as well as macroscopic properties such as transport mean free path and scattering anisotropy. Examples of such complex systems include photonic crystals [3], quasicrystals and deterministic aperiodic structures [4], and hyperuniform media [5]. An emerging application of random multiple-scattering media is their use as optical physical unclonable keys in cryptography [6–8]. The security of these cryptography protocols relies on the assumption of technological infeasibility in creating a high-fidelity copy of a key. Maximal complexity of light scattering occurs in thick isotropic multiple-scattering media lacking any symmetry or long-range order [9], which make them the best candidates for keys. We began the investigation into the robustness of the unclonability assumption by attempting to create the best possible replicas of isotropic multiple-scattering media with predetermined geometry. While spherical scatterers ease the design of isotropic scattering media, conventional methods inhibit the precise positioning of the scatterers in a three-dimensional volume [10]. Significant advances in direct laser writing (DLW) methods have overcome this limitation and enable precise positioning (up to a few nanometers) of submicron-sized features [11,12]. The fabrication of stable structures using DLW typically involves an interconnected network of line segments that uniformly fill a three-dimensional volume of up to mm³ [13]. In this paper, we address the problem of uniform filling of a space with chords with minimal long-range spatial correlation that realizes a design for photonic nanostructures using DLW.

The problem of filling space with randomly oriented chords is more involved than it seems at first glance. This was already noticed by J. Bertrand [14], who first formulated a paradox encountered with random line fillings in a circle: Suppose one fills a circle with random lines crossing the circle (such lines are also called “chords”) and given the largest enclosed equilateral triangle, what is the probability $P$ that a random chord is longer than the side of the triangle? Perhaps surprisingly, the answer depends on the choice of the method to draw the random lines. Bertrand discussed three possible methods as illustrated in Fig. 1, which result in three different probabilities $P$, concluding that specified as “random filling” only, the problem is ill defined.

This paper deals with the generalization of the method for generating on-average uniform line fillings of a bounded domain in an $n$-dimensional space ($n \geq 2$). We derive analytic expressions for the chord length distributions and validate the chord generation method using Monte Carlo simulations in two and three dimensions. Further, we analyze the size distribution of voids formed in uniform line fillings, which could provide insight into the structural correlations, finding a lognormal distribution of the pore sizes. We employ the line generation method to create designs of disordered multiple-scattering media that can be immediately implemented using DLW methods. We believe our results will also be useful for other problems where random but straight pathways are found, such as, e.g., dosimetry or sampling of nonstationary areas or volumes.
on chord-length distributions that result when convex bodies such as ours \[19\]. This is supported by more-recent literature.

The bottom row shows a single realization with 500 lines according to the method above it. The resulting probability \( p \) of finding a chord longer than the edge length of the enclosed equilateral triangle is different for the three methods. Jaynes argued that only the “radius method” (middle column) results in ensemble-averaged random line filling that is translationally invariant, i.e., uniformly dense.

II. MONTE CARLO METHOD

Let us begin by having a closer inspection of Bertrand’s paradox. In the first method, two points are chosen at random on the circumference of the circle and a chord is constructed. This method results in the probability \( p = \frac{1}{3} \) of the so-constructed chord to be longer than the side of the enclosed equilateral triangle. In the second approach, the “radius method,” a line from the center of the circle of radius \( r \) and an angle \( 0 \leq \phi \leq 2\pi \) is chosen at random and a chord perpendicular to this radial line is constructed. Since the base of the enclosed equilateral triangle is at exactly half the radius of the circle, this results in \( p = \frac{1}{2} \). In the third method, a random point inside the circle is chosen and the chord for which this point is the midpoint is found. The area in which midpoints of lines longer than the triangle’s base are found is exactly \( p = \frac{1}{4} \). The apparent conclusion from Bertrand’s paradox is that there is not one random filling but that one should specify precisely what one means by a “random filling.” Jaynes argued that this is actually not necessary because of the principle of maximum ignorance \[15\], dictating a uniform random filling: If nothing has been specified on the specific location of the object in space, then one has to assume that it does not matter, which is only the case for an on-average homogeneous filling. It should be mentioned that there is dispute in the literature on how complete Jayne’s solution is \[16–18\]. In this work we do not attempt to resolve this dispute but merely remark that Jayne’s solution seems to be the most relevant for physical problems such as ours \[19\]. This is supported by more-recent literature on chord-length distributions that result when convex bodies are randomly intercepted by straight lines such as in applications in acoustics, microscopy, texture analysis, and dosimetry \[20,21\]. In these studies the on-average homogeneous filling is also known as “\( \mu \)-randomness,” in contrast to other random distributions such as “\( \nu \)-randomness” (straight lines through a random point in a sphere), “\( \lambda \)-randomness” (straight lines through two random points in a sphere), and “\( i \)-randomness” (ray originating in random point) \[20\].

Bertrand and Jaynes discussed line fillings of circles, but similar issues arise when filling other objects with lines. Such fillings can of course always be obtained by taking a larger circle and cutting out the lines intersecting with the smaller object, but one may wonder if there is a simpler method. For applications the distribution of line lengths in such objects quickly becomes nontrivial and dependent on the geometry of the object \[22,23\].

It is straightforward to apply the three methods outlined in Fig. 1 in a Monte Carlo method to fill a circle with a random but on-average uniform distribution. In three dimensions (3D), as illustrated in Fig. 2 the methods are extended to the filling of a ball. Method 1 in 3D connects two randomly chosen points on the surface of the sphere. In contrast to the 2D case, in 3D this method 1 produces an on-average uniform distribution. For the 3D version of method 2, a random directional distance \( r < 1 \) is chosen from the center of the ball of unit radius. A randomly oriented direction perpendicular to this line segment is chosen to uniformly fill the ball. If \( r \) is chosen from a uniform distribution \([0, 1]\), then the method does not lead to a homogeneously filling. If, however, for a unit ball the radius is chosen according to the probability density function \( f_R(r) = 2r \), then we obtain an on-average uniform filling. We call this method 2*. Method 3 in 3D selects a random point in the ball, finds the disk of those lines that have this point as midpoint and randomly picks a line from this disk. Interestingly, whereas in 2D only one method (2) produces a uniform distribution, in 3D we find there are two methods, 1 and 2*. In 3D we also found an additional method for generating random line fillings \[24\].

To check the three different methods by Bertrand for uniformity in a rigorous way, we use a Monte Carlo method implemented in Matlab to generate lines and test the convergence of the density. To test the convergence in 2D (3D), the circle
(sphere) is divided into 100 shells of equal area (volume). The line density in that shell is then defined as the total line length inside it, divided by the shell’s volume. Figure 3 shows the convergence of the line density for both 2D and 3D.

In order to fill other 2D shapes with lines, we will simply cut out such shapes from a larger circle. For the example of a unit square, we will produce a large number of lines with the random radius method in a disk of diameter $\sqrt{2}$. Then we cut out a square that is filled with random lines forming a homogeneous filling of space. The result is a homogeneous filling of the square of which a sample can be seen in the insert in Fig. 5.

III. CHARACTERISTIC DISTRIBUTIONS

Now that we have methods to generate random lines with an ensemble-averaged homogeneous distribution, it is helpful to look at characteristic distributions with which to compare the Monte Carlo results. As a first test, we check the average angular distribution of the lines. It is plotted in Fig. 4(a). We see that angles close to $\theta = 0$, $\pi/2$, $\pi$ (horizontal or vertical) are less likely, whereas lines oriented along the diagonal directions ($\theta = \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$) are more likely. This represents the bias on the distribution caused by the angle-dependent cross section of the square for incoming parallel lines. If we weigh the contribution of each of the lines with its length, then we obtain the angular distribution plotted in Fig. 4(b). Since the average line length ($\approx \frac{1}{2}\sqrt{2}$) for chords with $\theta \approx \pi/4$, $3\pi/4$ is smaller than the average line length for near-horizontal or near-vertical lines ($\approx 1$), the structure from Fig. 4(a) is flattened out. The resulting angular distribution is completely flat, representing the fact that in a homogeneously filled square the total line length for a certain angular range is constant.

One of the more interesting distributions is that of line lengths. The length distribution for the 2D lines is derived in the Appendix and has a singularity at $l = 1$, which is caused by the many possible lines that run almost parallel to one of the sides and therefore have a length of slightly more, but never less than, 1. The distribution is plotted in Fig. 5, together with the statistical results according to the method discussed above with $10^7$ lines. Interestingly, exactly half of the lines are shorter (or longer) than the side length. We suspect that there must be a simple geometric argument why this is the case but have not found it.

Using method 2* to create a uniform line filling of a cube, we obtain the distribution shown in Fig. 6. It resembles the distribution of lines in a square: The distribution has a singularity at $l = 1$ and for shorter lines it is a straight line. However, the straight line for $0 < l < 1$ is not flat as in the case of the 2D square but inclined: Shorter lengths are more probable. The maximum possible length is now $\sqrt{3}$, and there is a kink at $\sqrt{2}$ expressing the fact that lines with a length between $\sqrt{2}$ and $\sqrt{3}$ are much less likely than lines shorter than $\sqrt{2}$, because these line lengths are only found with lines running close to one of the body diagonals of the cube. An analytic solution to the line length distribution of the cube was derived by Coleman [25] and is provided in the Appendix.

IV. THE VOID SPACE

We now turn to the voids between the homogeneously distributed chords. These voids are much more localized objects than the chords and have a characteristic size distribution that...
is important in a number of applications. For instance, the light-scattering properties of a sample of chords is more easily described by the properties of the set of voids than that of the lines. In 2D, the voids between the lines can uniquely be determined by the polygons formed by the line crossings. This problem is equivalent to finding the “pole of inaccessibility” of a landmass, for which efficient algorithms exist if the landmass is convex [26]. However, this method does not easily extrapolate to 3D, as the distance from a point to a line in 3D cannot be written as a linear function of the three coordinates of the point.

A method that does work in 3D is to find the largest spheres that are confined to the space between the lines [27]. We find the largest inscribed sphere around a uniformly random starting point by maximizing the sphere radius with nonlinear optimization by gradient descent. Initially, the sphere is limited in size by the closest line. The gradient descent algorithm iteratively moves the sphere away from the closest line, until the sphere is bound by four lines (three lines in 2D) and cannot be further increased in size. Hence, the largest inscribed sphere in this region is found. Then we start the search again at another starting point. If a search enters the volume of an already maximum-sized sphere, then we discard that point and move to the next. To avoid the effect of the edge of the spherical sample region in which the lines are generated, an inscribed sphere is discarded if it cuts the outer bounds of the sample region, i.e., the unit sphere. If no new void is found after 1000 generated starting points, then the void finding algorithm terminates. An illustration for the voids from a 2D line filling is shown in Fig. 7. In each void the largest enclosed circle is drawn. The resulting radii of the thus-found circles are found to be lognormally distributed but are not plotted here.

In contrast to the 2D case, the largest spheres that fit the voids in 3D can and will often overlap. The probability density function $f_s(r)$ of the radii of these spheres is shown in Fig. 8 for three different line densities. Similarly to 2D, the distribution of radii is well described by the lognormal distribution. The explanation is the following, where we start from a set of chords and its void size distribution. A new chord will cut a small fraction of the small voids as well as a few larger voids, effectively rescaling the size distribution a bit. Each additional chord will slightly rescale the distribution. All these small rescalings become additive on a log scale, which leads via the central limit theorem to a normal distribution in log space. The lognormal distribution is also found in physical distributions, such as nanoparticle agglomerates [28] or the voids between stars [29]. One might wonder how the void size distribution scales. For this we consider a three-dimensional sample cube of unit size with a single line. If we scale the size of this cube by a factor $m$, then its volume will scale by $m^3$. The length of the line contained in this scaled cube will be a factor $m$ longer. For an arbitrary $d$-dimensional body containing multiple chords with lengths $L_i$, we can consider the line length density $\rho = \sum_i L_i/V$, with $V$ the volume of the sphere.
the body. Using the same arguments as for the cube, we can see that $\sum L_i$ scales as $m$, while $V$ scales as $m^d$. Hence the line length density will scale as $\rho \propto m^{1-d}$. Turning this argument around, a characteristic length scale, namely the mode (the “most frequent”) of the distribution of void sizes as a function of the density $\rho$. The deviation between the Monte Carlo data and the fit is caused by a small bias in the search algorithm that we employ to identify the voids: Smaller voids are more likely to be missed by the randomly chosen starting points.

The following example on DLW-based structures helps in understanding the significance of this scaling law. Let us consider a $20 \mu m^3$ cube with 400 homogeneously distributed random lines. They have (see Appendix) an average length of $2/3$ units leading to a line length density of $400 \times 2/3 = 267$ unit length per unit volume or $0.67 \mu m^{-2}$ in real-world units. Then the most frequent void size is $\approx 0.045$ in units of the cube side length, which gives $0.045 \times 20 \mu m = 0.9 \mu m$. This value of the most frequent void size holds for infinitesimally thin lines, which is physically impossible in nanofabrication. Assuming cylindrical lines with a finite thickness of $2\mu m$, we can use another scaling law to estimate the effect: A uniform but nonzero value of $t$ reduces the radii of the inscribed spheres by $t$, thereby shifting the void size distribution down by $t$. Mathematically, we can describe this as the probability density function of the void sizes for thick lines, $f_R(r;t)$, which can be expressed in the probability density function of the zero-thickness lines as shown in Eq. 8 in the following way: $f_R(r;t) = c f_R(r + t)$ for all $r \geq 0$ and with $c$ a renormalization factor. For instance, thick lines with a radius of $300 \mu m$ will in our example shift the most frequent void size down from 0.9 to 0.6 $\mu m$.

V. d-DIMENSIONAL LINE FILLING

We have shown how we can use the uniform line filling of a circle or 3D ball to uniformly fill a square or cube with lines. This can in fact be extended to arbitrary shapes in any-dimensional space as long as it can be embedded in a $d$-dimensional ball. For this we need to show two things, namely that we can extend method 2* to fill a $d$-dimensional unit ball and that this method gives a uniform line filling.

Extension of method 2* to $d$ dimensions is straightforward. To create a random line on a $d$-dimensional ($d \geq 2$) unit ball $B$, we use the following method:

1. Choose a random direction vector $\hat{F}$ in $d$ dimensions.
2. Select a random distance $r$ from the center using the probability density function $f_B^2(r)$. Let $\mathbf{p}$ be the point $r \hat{F}$.
3. Select a random direction $\hat{s}$ in $d$ dimensions such that $\hat{s} \cdot \hat{F} = 0$ and construct the infinite line $\mathbf{p} + s \hat{s}$ for $s \in \mathbb{R}$.
4. Take the intersection between this infinite line and the ball $B$ as the generated line.

Since we choose $\hat{F}$ uniformly, there is no expected dominant angle in the lines. However, the density of the lines still depends on the distribution of the radii $r$ that we choose using $f_B^2(r)$. For $d = 2$ we know that $f_B^2(r) = 1$ for a uniform density. Our Monte Carlo calculations in 3D gave a uniform density when $r^2$ is uniformly distributed, which corresponds to $f_B^2(r) = 2r$. We thus expect that using $f_B^2(r) = (d - 1)r^{d-2}$ results in a uniform line density when filling the $d$-dimensional unit ball.

To show that this is the correct choice for $f_B^2(r)$, we look at a small $d$-dimensional ball $B(t)$ with radius $t < 1$ and volume $V_d(t)$, centered at the same origin as the original ball. Given a line generated by our method, we define its line length density on $B(t)$ as

$$\rho(t) = \frac{l(t)}{V_d(t)},$$

where $l(t)$ is the length of intersection between the generated line and $B(t)$. From Jaynes [15] we know that the expected value of this quantity $\rho(t)$ should be independent of $t$ for uniformly distributed lines.

A line generated by our method can be characterized by three parameters ($\hat{F}$, $r$, and $\hat{s}$), each chosen randomly. Both $\hat{F}$ and $\hat{s}$ have effect on the orientation of the line but not on the intersection length. The intersection length is thus determined only by $r$ and given by

$$l(t) = \begin{cases} 0 & \text{if } r > t, \\ 2\sqrt{t^2 - r^2} & \text{if } r \leq t. \end{cases}$$

Since we choose $r$ probabilistically, we get a probabilistic line length $L(t)$. Its expected value is given by

$$E[L(t)] = \int_0^t 2\sqrt{t^2 - r^2} f_B^2(r) dr.$$  (3)

The resulting expected line length density,

$$E[\rho(t)] = \frac{E[L(t)]}{V_d(t)},$$  (4)

should be constant for a uniform filling. To derive the constraint on $f_B^2(r)$ for such a constant line length density, we assume that the expected line length density $E[\rho(t)]$ has the unknown value $\overline{\rho} > 0$. Rewriting $E[\rho(t)] = \overline{\rho}$ gives

$$\overline{\rho} V_d(t) = \overline{\rho} \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma(\frac{d}{2} + 1)} t^d = 2 \int_0^t \sqrt{t^2 - r^2} f_B^2(r) dr.$$  (5)
with $\Gamma (\cdot)$ the gamma function. The solution to this Volterra integral equation is given by

$$f_d^3(r) = \frac{\gamma \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)} 4r \left( \frac{1}{2r^d} \right)^2 \int_0^r \sqrt{r^d - t^d} dt$$

$$= \frac{\gamma \pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)} 4r \left( \frac{1}{2r^d} \right)^2 \frac{\sqrt{\Gamma (d+1)} \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)}{2 \Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)}$$

$$= \frac{(d+1)(d-1)}{2} \frac{\pi^{d/2}}{\Gamma \left( \frac{d}{2} + 1 \right)} r^d d - 2,$$  \hspace{1cm} (6)

where we used that $d \geq 2$ and $r \geq 0$ to evaluate the integral. From this formula we see that $f_d^3(r)$ is of the form $a r^{d-2}$ for some constant $a$. However, we cannot recover $a$ from this formula, since it depends on the unknown line length density $\rho$. Therefore we use the fact that $f_d^3(r)$ is a probability density function and thus should be normalized. This results in $a = (d-1)$ and $f_d^3(r) = (d-1)r^{d-2}$, as expected. Thus the choice $f_d^3(r) = (d-1)r^{d-2}$ gives a uniform filling of a $d$-dimensional ball.

In addition to showing that this is the only correct choice for $f_d^3(r)$, we also find the average line length density of a single line

$$\overline{\rho} = \Gamma \left( \frac{d+1}{2} \right) \pi^{d/2}.$$  \hspace{1cm} (7)

This agrees with the expected value for the chord lengths [30]. For applications with lines with small cross section $\sigma$, this line length density $\overline{\rho}$ can be used to compute the expected contribution of a single line to the fill fraction of the ball by $\overline{\rho} \sigma$. This also allows a rough estimate of the number of lines needed to fill an object to the desired fill fraction.

VI. APPLICATION

The motivation for our study was the wish to produce uniform-on-average random line fillings for the fabrication of deterministic scattering media. This would allow us to investigate the assumption of unclonability made when using optical-multiple-scattering media as physical keys for authentication [6,7]. Multiple-light-scattering media are composed of random inhomogeneities of the refractive index. Typical examples are fibers or powders of dielectric materials in air or in a matrix such as in paper or paint that give rise to speckle when illuminated with coherent light [31]. The nanoscopic geometry of the scatterers is uncontrolled. The nanofabrication method of our choice is DLW, which combines--to our knowledge as the only 3D fabrication technique—an accuracy of a few nanometers, submicron feature size, and a high index-of-refraction contrast with the ability to fabricate volumes up to mm$^3$ [13]. To take advantage of the deterministic fabrication of DLW, we design a small cuboid sample composed of randomly oriented rods. With DLW it is straightforward to fabricate straight lines. At high-enough density they make a strong interconnected network that creates a stable structure. Alternatively, the geometry of stacked spheres can be approximated by a mesh network [32], but this remains a more complicated solution. To ease comparison with radiative transport theory, on-average uniform and locally rotationally invariant samples are desirable. We used method 2* described above to design structures with on-average uniform density. We design cubes of deterministic scattering media with a length of 15 or 20 $\mu$m. Figure 10 shows a picture of the line coordinate map and the structure expected from the nanofabrication assuming a line thickness of $\approx$100 nm.

The optical investigation of these samples is the subject of future studies. A deterministic multiple-scattering medium created with this method is expected to also be of interest for photovoltaics [33] and the lighting industry [34]. It has been shown that controlled scattering can improve the efficiency of solar cells by enhancing the dwell time of light inside the solar cell.

VII. DISCUSSION AND CONCLUSION

Let us close with some remarks on interesting possible future directions. First, characterizing the voids by finding the largest enclosed spheres is only one way to characterize the voids. Three-dimensional tessellation algorithms that also yield some shape information exist. One example is the Voronoi network that was also applied to a line filling [35]. Once such tessellations are found, the chord length distribution of the pore space starts becoming nontrivial and can be studied such as is performed in the study of porous minerals [36,37]. It is not clear to the authors, however, whether such tessellations are uniquely defined. Given a tessellation, but also for our spherical delimiters, the pair correlation function and, from that, the structure factor can be calculated. The latter is known to relate to the scattering mean free path [38] and might, hence, be relevant for light-scattering studies of actual optical-scattering materials.

In summary, Jaynes’s solution to Bertrand’s paradox, using the principle of maximum ignorance, is applied to generate homogeneous random line fillings of circles, spheres, and hyperbolas. Arbitrary shapes with uniform random line fillings can be cut out of these geometries. We characterize the void space between the chords by finding the largest enclosed circles and 3D balls, finding they are lognormally distributed. We employ the random line fillings to design deterministic light-scattering cubes of random dielectric rods that can be realized by direct laser writing. We believe our results will
also be useful for statistical analysis, molecular dynamics and problems where random but straight pathways are beneficial, such as, e.g., dosimetry, surveillance, or sampling of nonstationary areas or volumes.
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APPENDIX A: ANALYTIC CHORD LENGTH DISTRIBUTION IN A SQUARE AND A CUBE

In this Appendix we calculate, as an example, the distribution of chord lengths \([39,40]\) of the homogeneously filled 2D square. We start with finding an expression for the length of a line segment \(L(a, \phi)\) (see Fig. 11) as a function of the parametrized position where it crosses the diagonal, \(a \in [0, 1]\) as indicated in Fig. 11, and the angle \(\phi \in [0, \pi/4]\) that the line makes with the vertical. Without loss of generality we can choose the angle \(\phi = 0\) with the vertical and an intersection with the diagonal (dotted, blue) parametrized by \(a\).

The cumulative density function, \(F_L(l)\), is found from this length function by integrating over the domains of \(a\) and \(\phi\) a function that is either 1 or 0 depending on the argument of the function, \(l\), being larger or smaller than \(L(a, \phi)\), respectively:

\[
F_L(l) = \frac{4}{\pi} \int_{\phi=0}^{\phi=\pi/2} P_a(\phi) \int_{a=0}^{a=1} P_\phi(a) \times \begin{cases} 
1 & \text{if } L(a, \phi) \leq l \\
0 & \text{if } L(a, \phi) > l 
\end{cases} \, da \, d\phi, \\
\left(\text{A2}\right)
\]

where \(P_a(\phi) = 1\) and \(P_\phi(a) = \frac{1}{2}(|\sin \theta| + |\cos \theta|)\) are the \(a\) priori probabilities for finding a line with position \(a\) or angle \(\phi\). Performing the integral yields:

\[
F_L(l) = \begin{cases} 
\frac{l}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq l < 1, \\
1 - \frac{\sqrt{2}}{2} - \frac{l}{2} & \text{if } 1 \leq l < \sqrt{2}.
\end{cases} \\
\left(\text{A3}\right)
\]

From this the probability density function of the line length is derived by simply taking the derivative

\[
f_L(l) = \frac{dF_L(l)}{dl} = \begin{cases} 
\frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq 1, \\
\frac{1}{2\sqrt{2-l^2}} - \frac{1}{2} & \text{if } 1 < l \leq \sqrt{2}.
\end{cases} \\
\left(\text{A4}\right)
\]

The resulting chord length distribution \(f_L(l)\) was plotted in Fig. 5, together with the statistical results according to the Monte Carlo method discussed in the main text with 10\(^7\) lines. The analytic result can readily be used to calculate the average chord length in a homogeneously filled unit square:

\[
\int_0^{\sqrt{2}} f_L(l) \, dl = 1. \\
\left(\text{A5}\right)
\]

which is a useful quantity to estimate the average chord length of a homogeneously filled square with a known number of chords. It agrees with the more general expression for arbitrary convex 2D areas \([25]\) for which the average chord length is given by \(\pi A/P\), where \(A\) is the surface area and \(P\) the length of the perimeter.

FIG. 11. Construction to derive the length \(L\) of a line segment (red) extending from edge to edge of a unit square (thick black) for a given angle \(\phi (0 < \phi < \pi/4)\) with the vertical and an intersection with the diagonal (dotted, blue) parametrized by \(a\).

FIG. 12. (a) The line length \(l\) in a unit square as a function of the angle and parametrized entrance position, \(a\). (b) The cumulative density function, \(F_{L,\phi}(l, \phi)\), for the probabilities to find a line up to length \(l\), still as a function of the angle \(\phi\). Integration over \(\phi\), taking into account the a priori probability to find a line with angle \(\phi\), will yield the final \(F_L(l)\).
For completeness we also reproduce the chord length distribution in a 3D unit cube as derived by Coleman [25],
\[
\frac{1}{3\pi} \left\{ \begin{array}{ll}
8 - 3l & \text{if } 0 \leq l \leq 1, \\
\frac{6l^2 - 6l + 1}{l^3} + 6l - \frac{8}{\pi} (l^2 + 1)\sqrt{l^2 - 1} & \text{if } 1 < l \leq \sqrt{2}, \\
\frac{6l^2 - 6l + 1}{l^3} - \frac{8}{\pi} (l^2 + 1) - \frac{24}{\pi^2} \arctan(\sqrt{l^2 - 1}) & \text{if } \sqrt{2} < l \leq \sqrt{3}.
\end{array} \right.
\] (A7)

The chord length distribution in cuboids and rectangular boxes has also been derived in earlier works [41]. The analytic result from Ref. [25].

Finally, for a 2D circle with unit radius, a homogeneous filling of chords leads to a chord length distribution of $f_{L, \text{circle}}(l) = 1/2(2\sqrt{2} - 2l)$ with an average chord length of $\pi/2$. For a 3D sphere with unit radius a homogeneous filling of chords leads to a chord length distribution of $f_{L, \text{sphere}}(l) = 1/2$ with an average chord length of $4/3$, both consistent with the more general result from Ref. [25].

[23] J. Phillip, The distribution and the expectation of the distance between two random points of different faces of a unit cube in three and four dimensions. [www.math.kth.se/~johanph].


